



#17
Della
Le-13-04

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q60198

Jae-moon JO, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/654,939

Group Art Unit: 2621

Confirmation No.: 7212

Examiner: Brian P. WERNER

Filed: December 22, 2000

For: APAPTIVE VARIABLE-LENGTH CODING AND DECODING METHODS FOR
IMAGE DATA

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
May 12, 2004:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was sent to the undersigned by
facsimile on May 12, 2004 and is identified as paper No. 14.

During the interview, the following was discussed among the Examiner, Mr. Brian
Werner, Mr. Charles Park of Samsung, Mr. Byung Cheol Song of Samsung and the
undersigned.:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: a draft amendment with responses
to the Examiner's position regarding the rejection of claims 8-11 and proposed amendments to
the claims, including newly proposed claims.

2. Identification of claims discussed: Claims 8-11 and newly proposed claims 12-19 (mistakenly numbered out of sequence due to cancellation of claims 12-17).

3. Identification of art discussed: Kato(5,559,557).

4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Applicants stated that no amendments would be made to claim 8, but the Examiner stated he would maintain his rejection. Applicants' representatives stated that new claims would be added defining two tables, one for intra-mode AC and a different table for inter-mode.

5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Kato does not anticipate claim 8 because it does not expressly teach the use of a table in block 704 (Fig. 17) nor is such use inherent, as there are other ways to provide the function set forth in the specification. Thus, anticipation is inappropriate. Moreover, the use of tables is not obvious. In any event, Kato does not anticipate or render obvious the two-table limitations found in the newly proposed claims.

6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: Certain of the new claims may present issues of support in the original specification. The Applicants' representatives asserted that there was full support and would demonstrate such support in subsequently filed amendments and/or continuation applications.

7. Results of Interview: The Examiner agreed that the newly proposed claims defined over the cited prior art and did not raise recapture issues. The Examiner asserted that despite the application being under final, he would allow claim 8, modified to define the two table feature, subject to an updated review of the cited art. The Examiner noted that the other new claims would not be considered without the filing of an RCE, as new issues were raised. Applicants would consider whether to file claim 8 as considered allowable or file an RCE and/or

continuation applications to cover the claims that the Examiner indicated may raise new issues for consideration.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.


Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER


Alan J. Kasper
Registration No. 25,426

Date: May 24, 2004